AO 4/2 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Thai		
UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT CO	0.3. 013 11119 1 999.11
	for the	DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIR
	District ofNH	SEP 20 2021
United States of America		FILED
v.	· )	
Victor Rosanio	) Case No.	•
Defendant	,	
ORDER OF D	ETENTION PENDING T	RIAL
Part I	- Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44-10 H C C C 2140/6	
Motion of the Government attorney  Motion of the Government or Cour		
indication of the Government of Cour	t s own motion pursuant to 18 C	.s.c. § 5142(1)(2),
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. §  Part II - Findings of Fact	3142(1), in addition to any other and Law as to Presumptions u	
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous	violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination		
and the community because the following con	•	
(1) the defendant is charged with one		
(a) a crime of violence, a violation		
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a max		
☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum (c) an offense for which a maximum		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	i Substances Import and Export Act
(21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapt		
(d) any felony if such person has		·
		enses that would have been offenses
described in subparagraphs (a) thr jurisdiction had existed, or a comb		rcumstance giving rise to Federal
(e) any felony that is not otherwis		25:
* * *		evice (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
(iii) any other dangerous weapon;		
$\Box$ (2) the defendant has previously been		
	•	n offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i> (3) the offense described in paragraph		nt has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on	• •	
☐ (4) a period of not more than five year		

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the	
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
<ul> <li>□ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;</li> <li>□ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;</li> </ul>	
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
or and	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse; acfendant claims no current use; however, history of alcohol or substance abuse; acfendant claims no current use; however, history of alcohol or substance abuse; acfendant claims no current use; however, hust raises concerns regarding cusmbut for proaf netto support activity on activity on the concerns of the conce	48N

 $\ \square$  Lack of financially responsible sureties

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district	
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States	
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents	
☐ Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 9/20/2021 <u>Auduluk, MAMu</u>

United States Magistrate Judge